arXiv:1604.08751v4 [physics.plasm-ph] 24 Oct 2016

Nonlinear Landau damping of wave envelopes in a quantum plasma

Debjani Chatterjee[1] and A. P. Misra[1, a)]
Department of Mathematics, Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan-731 235, WB, India

The nonlinear theory of Landau damping of electrostatic wave envelopes (WEs) is revisited in a quantum electron-positron (EP) pair plasma. Starting from a Wigner-Moyal equation coupled to the Poisson equation and applying the multiple scale technique, we derive a nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation which governs the evolution of electrostatic WEs. It is shown that the coefficients of the NLS equation, including the nonlocal nonlinear term, which appears due to the resonant particles having group velocity of the WEs, are significantly modified by the particle dispersion. The effects of the quantum parameter H (the ratio of the plasmon energy to the thermal energy densities), associated with the particle dispersion, are examined on the Landau damping rate of carrier waves, as well as on the modulational instability of WEs. It is found that the Landau damping rate and the decay rate of the solitary wave amplitude are greatly reduced compared to their classical values ($H = 0$).

PACS numbers: 52.25.Dg, 52.27.Ep, 52.35.Mw, 52.35.Sb

I. INTRODUCTION

Landau damping is one of the most fundamental phenomena of waves in plasma physics. Such collisionless damping was first theoretically predicted by Landau[1] and later experimentally verified by Malmberg and Wharton[2]. Since then, Landau damping of electrostatic or electromagnetic waves in plasmas has been a topic of important research (see, e.g., Refs. 3–7). However, in most of these investigations Landau damping has been considered classically, i.e., using the Vlasov-Poisson system and/or limited to the linear theories. When quantum effects are included there appear new length scale and new coupling parameter, as well as new collective modes for which new processes come into play. For example, the modifications of the linear Landau damping of electrostatic waves by the effects of arbitrary degeneracy of electrons[3], and the influence of linear Landau damping on nonlinear waves[8] in quantum plasmas. Although, there are some developments of Landau damping in nonlinear regimes (see, e.g., Refs. 4, 5, and 9), however, many of the effects of nonlinear Landau damping have not yet been explored, especially in the quantum regime.

Recently, Chatterjee and Misra[4] advanced the nonlinear theory of Landau damping[10] of electrostatic wave envelopes in an electron-positron (EP) pair plasma in the context of Tsallis' nonextensive statics. Starting from a set of Vlasov-Poisson equations and using a multiple scale technique, they have shown that the nonextensive parameter significantly modifies the Landau damping rates, the modulational instability (MI), as well as the evolution of wave envelopes.

In the present work, we consider the Wigner-Moyal equation, which accounts for the particle dispersion, and excludes the exchange-correlation and spin effects. Specifically, we focus on the weak quantum regime in which the Langmuir wavelength (L) is larger than the thermal de Broglie wavelength of electrons and positrons (λ_B), i.e., $L > \lambda_B \equiv \hbar/mv_t$, where $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck's constant, m is the mass of electrons/positrons and $v_t = \sqrt{k_B T/m}$ is the thermal velocity with k_B denoting the Boltzmann constant and T the particle's thermodynamic temperature. Such weak quantum effects in the nonlinear regime can lead to, e.g., bounce-like amplitude oscillations even in absence of trapped particles[9]. Starting from the Wigner-Moyal equation coupled to the Poisson equation and using a multiple scale technique (MST), we derive a nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, which governs the evolution of electrostatic wave envelopes (WEs) in quantum EP plasmas. We show that in the weak quantum regime, the resonant particles still have a velocity close to the group velocity of the WEs as in the classical theory[4,10], and the coefficients of the NLS equation, including the nonlocal nonlinearity due to the wave-particle resonance, are significantly modified by the quantum dispersion. We also find that, not only the wave dispersion and the Landau damping rate are modified, the MI as well as the decay rate of WEs are also greatly influenced by the quantum effect.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS AND DERIVATION OF NLS EQUATION

We consider the nonlinear propagation of electrostatic waves in an unmagnetized collisionless quantum electron-positron-pair plasma. Such ion-free EP-pair plasmas with unique characteristics have recently been produced in the laboratory[12]. Our starting point is the Wigner-Moyal distribution function $F_\alpha(x, v, t)$ for electrons ($\alpha = e$) and positrons ($\alpha = p$) which satisfies the following

a) Electronic mail: apmisra@visva-bharati.ac.in; apmisra@gmail.com

evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial t}+v\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial x}+\frac{e_\alpha m_\alpha}{2i\pi\hbar^2}\int\int dx_0dv_0e^{im_\alpha(v-v_0)x_0/\hbar} \times\left[\phi\left(x+\frac{x_0}{2}\right)-\phi\left(x-\frac{x_0}{2}\right)\right]F_\alpha(x,v_0,t)=0, \tag{1}$$

where e_α and m_α are the charge and mass of α-species particles, v is the velocity and $\phi(x,t)$ is the self-consistent electrostatic potential satisfying the Poisson equation

$$\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}=-4\pi\sum e_\alpha\int F_\alpha dv. \tag{2}$$

Note that Eq. (1) includes the particle dispersive effects but ignores the exchange-correlation and spin effects. We focus on the long-wavelength perturbations with characteristic length scale $L\gg\lambda_D\sim\hbar/mv_t$, where $\lambda_D\equiv v_t/\omega_p=\sqrt{k_BT/8\pi n_0e^2}$ is the typical Debye length with n_0 denoting the equilibrium number density, and $\omega_p=\sqrt{8\pi n_0e^2/m}$ the oscillation frequency of electrons and positrons. This assumption requires the smallness of the nondimensional parameter $H=\hbar/mv_t\lambda_D$.

In order to obtain the evolution equation for the electrostatic WEs in EP plasmas, we follow Refs. 4 and 10 and so, introduce the multiple space-time scales as

$$x\to x+\epsilon^{-1}\eta+\epsilon^{-2}\zeta,\quad t\to t+\epsilon^{-1}\sigma, \tag{3}$$

where η, ζ, and σ are coordinates stretched by a small parameter ϵ. Since the wave amplitude is infinitesimally small so for $t>0$ a slight deviation $\sim o(\epsilon)$ from the uniform initial sate will occur. Thus, we expand

$$\begin{aligned}F_\alpha(v,x,t)&=F_\alpha^{(0)}(v)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon^n\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}(v,\eta,\sigma,\zeta)\\&\times\exp[il(kx-\omega t)],\\\phi(x,t)&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon^n\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\phi_l^{(n)}(\eta,\sigma,\zeta)\exp[il(kx-\omega t)],\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where ω (k) is the carrier wave frequency (number) of perturbations and $f_{\alpha,-l}^{(n)}=f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)*}$, $\phi_{-l}^{(n)}=\phi_l^{(n)*}$ are the reality conditions to hold. Note that the expansion (4) and the stretched coordinates $\xi=\epsilon(x-\lambda t)$, $\tau=\epsilon^2t$ (not considered here) are usually used in the reductive perturbation technique for the derivation of, e.g., the NLS equation. However, it has been shown by Ichikawa *et al.*[11] that the direct application of the reductive perturbation technique with the expansion (4) to the Vlasov-Poisson system does not determine uniquely the contributions of resonant particles having group velocity of the wave ($v\sim\lambda$). In order to give a proper account of this resonance, Ichikawa[10] proposed a new multiple space-time scale (3) with further expansions of $f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}$ and $\phi_l^{(n)}$ in terms of Fourier-Laplace integrals. So, the components $f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}$ and $\phi_l^{(n)}$ are further expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}(v,\eta,\sigma,\zeta)=&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_C d\Omega\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dK\tilde{f}_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}(v,K,\Omega,\zeta)\\&\times\exp[i(K\eta-\Omega\sigma)]\\\phi_l^{(n)}(\eta,\sigma,\zeta)=&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_C d\Omega\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dK\tilde{\phi}_l^{(n)}(K,\Omega,\zeta)\\&\times\exp[i(K\eta-\Omega\sigma)],\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the contour C is taken parallel to the real axis lying above the coordinate of convergence.

In the weak quantum regime in which $\hbar k/mv_t<1$ (Note here that in the true quantum regime where the thermal de Broglie wavelength is comparable to or larger than the typical Langmuir wavelength of EP plasma oscillations, i.e., $\hbar k/mv_t\gtrsim1$, the Wigner-Moyal equation (1) is retained in its full form.), the integrand in Eq. (1) can be Taylor expanded to retain terms up to $o(H^2)$. Thus, from Eq. (1) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial t}+v\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial x}-\frac{e_\alpha}{m_\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial v}+\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\frac{\partial^3\phi}{\partial x^3}\frac{\partial^3F_\alpha}{\partial v^3}+o(H^4)=0, \tag{6}$$

from which the Vlasov equation can be recovered in the formal semiclassical limit $\hbar\to0$.

Substituting the stretched coordinates (3) and the expansions (4) into Eqs. (2) and (6) we obtain, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}&il(\omega-kv)f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}+ilkG_\alpha\phi_l^{(n)}-il^3k^3H_\alpha\phi_l^{(n)}\\&\doteq\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}f_{\alpha,l}^{(n-1)}+v\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}f_{\alpha,l}^{(n-1)}+v\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}f_{\alpha,l}^{(n-2)}-G_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\phi_l^{(n-1)}\\&-G_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\phi_l^{(n-2)}-ik\frac{e_\alpha}{m_\alpha}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}(l-l')\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s)}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)}\\&-\frac{e_\alpha}{m_\alpha}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s-1)}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\phi_{l-l'}^{n-s-2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)}\\&+3lkH_\alpha\left(lk\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\phi_l^{(n-1)}+lk\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\phi_l^{(n-2)}-i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2}\phi_l^{(n-2)}\right)\\&-i\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}k^3\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}(l-l')^3\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s)}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)}\\&-3\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}k^2\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}(l-l')^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s-1)}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)}\\&-3\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}k^2\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}(l-l')^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s-2)}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)}\\&+i3k\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}(l-l')\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2}\phi_{l-l'}^{(n-s-2)}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}f_{\alpha,l'}^{(s)},\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$(lk)^2\phi_l^{(n)} - 2ilk\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\phi_l^{(n-1)} - i2lk\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\phi_l^{(n-2)} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2}\phi_l^{(n-2)} - 4\pi\sum_\alpha e_\alpha\int f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}dv = 0, \tag{8}$$

where

$$G_\alpha(v) = \frac{e_\alpha}{m_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}F_\alpha^{(0)}(v), \quad H_\alpha(v) = -\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}F_\alpha^{(0)}(v). \tag{9}$$

The symbol $\doteq$ in Eq. (7) is used to denote the equality in the weak sense, and in Eq. (8) we have disregarded the terms containing $\phi_l^{(n-3)}$ and $\phi_l^{(n-4)}$.

In what follows, we determine the contributions of the resonant particles having the group velocity of the wave envelopes by solving the σ-evolution of the components $f_{\alpha,l}^{(n)}$ and $\phi_l^{(n)}$ as an initial value problem with the initial condition

$$f_{\alpha,0}^{(n)}(v,\eta,\sigma=0,\zeta) \doteq 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{10}$$

in the multiple space-time scheme corresponding to that on the distribution function

$$f_{\alpha,0}^{(n)}(v,t=0) = 0. \tag{11}$$

In the following subsections, we will skip the detailed derivations of the expressions for different kinds of modes and relevant others. For some details, readers are referred to, e.g., Refs. 4 and 10.

A. Harmonic modes with $n=1,\ l=1$: Linear dispersion law

From Eqs. (7) and (8) equating the coefficients of ϵ for $n=1,\ l=1$, we obtain the linear dispersion law

$$k + 4\pi\sum e_\alpha\int_C\frac{G_\alpha(v)-k^2H_\alpha(v)}{\omega-kv}dv = 0. \tag{12}$$

with the Landau damping rate $\gamma_L \sim \omega_r\epsilon^{2+p}$, where $\omega_r = \Re\omega$ and p is a non-negative integer, given by[4]

$$\gamma_L = \frac{\pi}{k}\sum_\alpha e_\alpha\left\{G_\alpha\left(\frac{\omega_r}{k}\right) - k^2H_\alpha\left(\frac{\omega_r}{k}\right)\right\} \Big/ \sum_\alpha e_\alpha\int_C\frac{G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha}{(\omega_r-kv)^2}dv, \tag{13}$$

where $F_\alpha^{(0)}(v)$ is the unperturbed part of the distribution function $F_\alpha(v)$ and $\int_C$ denotes the Cauchy Principal value along the real axis passing infinitesimally above and under the pole at $v=\omega_r/k$ with the constraint of weakly damped waves.

Next, considering the harmonic modes for $l\neq 0,\ n=1$ and the zeroth harmonic modes for $n=1,\ 2;\ l=0$ we obtain the following conditions:

$$f_{\alpha,l}^{(1)} \doteq 0 \text{ and } \phi_l^{(1)} = 0 \text{ for } |l| \geq 2. \tag{14}$$

together with the zeroth-order components

$$f_{\alpha,0}^{(1)} \doteq 0, \quad \phi_0^{(1)} = 0. \tag{15}$$

B. Modes with $n=2,\ l=1$: Compatibility condition

For the second-order, first harmonic modes with $n=2,\ l=1$ we obtain from Eqs. (7) and (8) the compatibility condition for the group velocity,

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma} + \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\right\}\phi_1^{(1)}(\eta,\sigma;\zeta) = 0, \tag{16}$$

where λ is given by

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{\partial\omega_r}{\partial k} = \left[4\pi\sum e_\alpha\int_C\frac{(G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha)}{(\omega_r-kv)^2}dv\right]^{-1} \times\left[1+4\pi\sum e_\alpha\int_C\frac{vG_\alpha-kH_\alpha(2\omega_r-kv)}{(\omega_r-kv)^2}dv\right]. \tag{17}$$

From Eq. (16) it is clear that the $\sigma-\eta$ variation of $\phi_1^{(1)}$ can be related to a new coordinate $\xi=\eta-\lambda\sigma=\epsilon(x-\lambda t)$ such that $\phi_1^{(1)}(\eta,\sigma;\zeta)=\phi_1^{(1)}(\xi;\zeta)$. Thus, the coordinate ξ establishes a clear relationship between the reductive perturbation theory and the multiple space-time expansion method.

C. Second harmonic modes with $n=l=2$

The second-order perturbed quantities with $n=l=2$ can be obtained from Eqs. (7) and (8) as

$$f_{\alpha,2}^{(2)} \doteq -\frac{k}{\omega-kv+i\nu}\left[(G_\alpha-4k^2H_\alpha)\phi_2^{(2)} - \frac{ke_\alpha}{2m_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left\{\frac{G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv+i\nu} + \frac{k\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\left(\frac{G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv+i\nu}\right)\right\}\left(\phi_1^{(1)}\right)^2\right], \tag{18}$$

$$\phi_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}A(k,\omega)\left(\phi_1^{(1)}\right)^2, \tag{19}$$

where

$$A(k,\omega) = 4\pi\sum_\alpha\frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha}\left[\int_C\frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left\{\frac{G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv} + \frac{k^2\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\left(\frac{G_\alpha-k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)\right\}dv\right] \Big/ \left(1-4\pi\sum_\alpha e_\alpha\int_C\frac{kH_\alpha}{\omega-kv}dv\right), \tag{20}$$

where $\nu=\pm|\nu|$ for $l\lesseqgtr 0$ is introduced to anticipate that the solution in the linear approximation decays with the Landau damping rate[4]. Since the linear Landau damping γ_L is assumed to be a higher-order effect than second order, we have neglected the effect of the resonance terms at the phase velocity ω/k in Eq. (20).

D. Modes with $n = 3,\ l = 0$

In what follows, we consider the harmonic modes with $n = 3$ and $l = 0$ from Eqs. (7) and (8). Then using the relations (15) and (16) we obtain a set of reduced equations, which after use of the Fourier-Laplace transforms with respect to η and σ and the initial condition (10), yield

$$\tilde{f}^{(2)}_{\alpha,0} \doteq k^2 \left[-\frac{\mathcal{W}(K,\Omega)}{\Delta^{(c)}(K,\Omega)} \frac{K}{\Omega - Kv} G_\alpha(v) - \frac{e_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \frac{K}{\Omega - Kv} I_\alpha(v) \right] \Xi(K,\Omega), \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^{(2)}_0 = k^2 \frac{\Xi(K,\Omega)}{\Delta^{(c)}(K,\Omega)} \mathcal{W}(K,\Omega), \tag{22}$$

where

$$I_\alpha(v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left\{ \frac{(v-\lambda)\left(G_\alpha - k^2 H_\alpha\right)}{(\omega - kv)^2} - \frac{2kH_\alpha}{\omega - kv} \right\} + \frac{k^2\hbar^2}{24 m_\alpha^2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3} \left[\frac{(v-\lambda)\left(G_\alpha - k^2 H_\alpha\right)}{(\omega - kv)^2} - \frac{2k}{\omega - kv}\left(G_\alpha + (1-k^2) H_\alpha\right) \right]. \tag{23}$$

and $\Xi(K,\Omega)$ is defined as

$$|\ \phi_1^{(1)}(\eta - \lambda\sigma, \zeta)\ |^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\Omega \int dK \Xi(K,\Omega) \times \exp[i(K\eta - \Omega\sigma)], \tag{24}$$

with

$$\Xi(K,\Omega) = 2\pi\delta(\Omega - K\lambda) \int dK' \phi_1^{(1)*}(K') \phi_1^{(1)}(K + K'), \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(K,\Omega) = 4\pi \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha} \int \frac{K}{\Omega - Kv} I_\alpha dv,$$
$$\Delta^{(c)}(K,\Omega) = -4\pi K \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \int \frac{G_\alpha}{\Omega - Kv} dv. \tag{26}$$

E. Harmonic modes with $n = 3,\ l = 1$ and the NLS equation

Finally, considering the terms for $n = 3$ and $l = 1$ from Eqs. (7) and (8), and adopting the same procedure as in Ref. 4 we obtain (after rescaling with $\zeta = \lambda\tau$) the following quantum modified NLS equation for the small but finite amplitude perturbation $\phi(\xi,\tau) \equiv \phi_1^{(1)}(\xi,\tau)$:

$$i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\tau} + P\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\xi^2} + Q|\phi|^2\phi + \frac{R}{\pi}\mathcal{P}\int \frac{|\phi(\xi',\tau)|^2}{\xi - \xi'} d\xi'\phi + iS\phi = 0. \tag{27}$$

The coefficients of the group velocity dispersion (P), local cubic nonlinear (Q) and nonlocal nonlinear (R) terms are given by $P \equiv (1/2)\partial^2\omega/\partial k^2 = \beta/\alpha,\ Q = \gamma/\alpha$ and $R = \delta/\alpha$, where

$$\alpha = 4\pi \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \int \frac{G_\alpha - k^2 H_\alpha}{(\omega - kv)^2} dv, \tag{28}$$

$$\beta = 4\pi \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \int \left[\frac{(v-\lambda)^2\left(G_\alpha - k^2 H_\alpha\right)}{(\omega - kv)^3} - \frac{(1 + 2k(v-\lambda))\, H_\alpha}{(\omega - kv)^2} \right] dv, \tag{29}$$

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{1}{6}\frac{AA_1}{k} + \frac{1}{2}B \right) k^2 - \Theta(k,\ \omega), \quad \delta = -\Phi(k,\omega). \tag{30}$$

The expressions for $A_1,\ B,\ \Theta,\ \Phi$ etc. are given in Appendix A. Furthermore, the damping coefficient S in Eq. (27) associated with the resonant particles having the phase velocity of the carrier wave, is given by

$$S = \frac{\theta(p)\gamma_L}{\epsilon^2}. \tag{31}$$

We note that all the coefficients of the NLS equation (27) are modified by the quantum parameter H associated with the particle dispersion. Furthermore, the nonlocal term $\propto R$ appears due to the wave-particle resonance having the group velocity of the wave envelopes. This resonance contribution also modifies the local nonlinear coefficient Q, which appears due to the carrier wave self-interactions.

III. ELECTROSTATIC ENVELOPES WITH MAXWELLIAN STATIONARY STATE

We consider the nonlinear propagation of high-frequency ($\omega > \omega_p$) Langmuir waves whose phase velocity greatly exceeds the thermal velocities of electrons and positrons, i.e., $\omega_r/k \gg v_t > v$. For analytical simplicity, we also consider the long-wavelength perturbations, i.e., $k \ll k_d$, where $k_d = \left(8\pi n_0 e^2/k_B T\right)^{1/2}$ is the plasma Debye wave number, and the quantum contribution to the wave-particle interaction is small, i.e., $H^2 \lesssim 1$. Furthermore, we assume that the particle's thermodynamic temperature is higher than the Fermi temperature, i.e., $T > T_F$, so that the equilibrium distributions of electrons and positrons can be considered as the Maxwellian-Boltzmann, given by,

$$F_\alpha^{(0)}(v) = \frac{n_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}v_t} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2v_t^2}\right). \tag{32}$$

where for a fully symmetric and charge-neutral EP plasma, $T_e = T_p = T$ and $m_e = m_p = m$. Under these

assumptions, the linear dispersion relation, the Landau damping rate and the coefficients of the NLs equation (27) can be simplified. For detailed analysis, readers are suggested to Ref. 4. Thus, in the region of small wave numbers with $\chi^2 \equiv k^2/k_d^2 \ll 1$ and the smallness of thermal corrections, the dispersion relation for Langmuir waves (12) reduces to

$$\omega_r{}^2 = \omega_p{}^2 \left(1 + 3\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}H^2\chi^4\right). \tag{33}$$

Equation (33) is the known form of the dispersion relation for Langmuir waves in a quantum plasma with particles in thermodynamic equilibrium[13]. In the formal limit $H \to 0$, one can recover the dispersion relation for high-frequency Langmuir waves in classical plasmas. However, the Landau damping rate [Eq.(13)], which is one of our main results in quantum EP plasmas, takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_L = &-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}}\frac{\omega_p}{\chi^3}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\chi^2}\left(1 + 3\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}H^2\chi^4\right)\right] \\ &\times\left[1 + \frac{H^2}{24} - \frac{H^2\chi^2}{2}\left(\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We note that the Landau damping rate is also significantly modified by the quantum correction associated with the particle dispersion. In the limit $H \to 0$, and after a small adjustment of the factor 8 in ω_p and under the square root in the expression of γ_L, Eq. (34) exactly agrees with that for Langmuir waves in classical plasmas[14].

We numerically analyze the dispersion properties and the Landau damping rate of Langmuir waves by the influence of the quantum parameter H. The profiles of the dispersion relation [Eq. (33)] and the Landau damping rate [Eq. (34)] are shown in Fig. 1 for different values of H that correspond to different plasma environments as represented by the plasma number density n_0 and the temperature T. For example, $H = 0.5$ corresponds to the regime where $T = 10^6$ K, $T_F/T = 0.3$ and $n_0 = 7 \times 10^{23}$ cm^{-3}, and $H = 1$ represents one with $T = 6 \times 10^5$ K, $T_F/T = 0.7$ and $n_0 = 10^{24}$ cm^{-3}. For a fixed T (n_0), as n_0 (T) increases (decreases), the values of the ratios H and T_F/T increase. It is found that both the real part of the wave frequency and the absolute value of the damping rate decreases with increasing values of H in $0 \lesssim H \lesssim 1$. In fact, there are two subregions of χ, namely $0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 0.6$ and $0.6 < \chi \lesssim 1$. In the former, the value of $|\gamma_L|$ increases, whereas the same decreases in the other one where the effects of H become prominent. Thus, we conclude that for a fixed temperature T, as one enters the high-density regime or with a fixed density, as one look for low-temperature plasmas, the quantum contribution to the wave-particle interaction is that it influences the wave to lose energy to the particles more slower than the classical theory.

In what follows, we simplify the coefficients of the NLS equation (27) in the limit $\chi^2 \ll 1$ and $H^2 \lesssim 1$. From the expression of $P \equiv \beta/\alpha$ we obtain (for some details, see Appendix B)

$$P = \frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_p}{k_d^2}\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}(9 - H^2)\chi^2 + \frac{85}{8}H^2\chi^4\right]. \tag{35}$$

For the other coefficients, namely Q and R, we first obtain the expressions for $\Theta(k,\omega)$ and $\Phi(k,\omega)$ in the limit $\chi^2 \ll 1$. So, we calculate, respectively, the resonant and non-resonant contributions to $\Theta(k,\omega)$ as

$$\frac{k^3}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}\left(\Gamma W W_3 - \Delta U U_3\right)^2 = 0, \tag{36}$$

$$k^3\left[\frac{(WW_3)^2}{\Delta} + C_3\right] = \left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \chi^2 k\left[1 - \frac{H^2}{4}\chi^2\right]. \tag{37}$$

Thus, it follows that the resonant contribution to the coefficient Q, which is smaller than that of the nonresonant one, can be disregarded to obtain

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \omega_p \chi^2\left(1 - \frac{H^2}{4}\chi^2\right). \tag{38}$$

The contribution from the group velocity resonance through $\Phi(k,\omega)$ gives

$$R = \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \omega_p \chi^3\left(1 - \frac{13H^2}{24}\chi^2\right). \tag{39}$$

From Eqs. (35), (38) and (39), it is clear that $Q < 0$ and $R > 0$ for any values of χ and H in the interval (0 1]. However, P can be either positive or negative depending on the values of H and χ. Figure 2 shows the regions for $PQ > 0$, i.e., $P > 0$ and $PQ < 0$, i.e., $Q < 0$ in the χH plane. In Sec. IV, we find that, though the condition for the MI is independent on the sign of PQ, but on the presence of R, the sign of PQ can be important for determining the natures of the frequency shift (Ω_r) and the energy transfer rate (Γ) of modulated waves. Furthermore, the sign of R is also important to determine whether a steady state solution of the NLS equation exists or not. It has been shown in Ref. 4 that the condition for an initial perturbation to decay with time requires $R > 0$. From Fig. 2, it is seen that $P < 0$ in a wide range of values of χ and H, i.e., roughly in $0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 0.47$, $0 \le H \le 1$ and $0.47 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$, $0.7 \le H \le 1$, otherwise $P > 0$, i.e., roughly in $0.47 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$, $0 \le H < 0.7$. Thus, the quantum parameter shifts the positive and negative regions of PQ around the values of χ.

It is instructive to verify the conservation laws, namely the mass, the momentum and the energy that are associated with the NLS equation (27). It can be shown that the nonlocal nonlinear term $\propto R$ violates the energy conservation law[4], i.e., the time derivative of the integral $\partial I_3/\partial\tau < 0$ for $R > 0$, where $I_3 = \int\left[|\partial_\xi\phi|^2 - (Q/2P)\,|\phi|^4\right]d\xi$. This implies that an initial perturbation (e.g., in the form of a plane wave) will decay to zero with time, and hence a steady state solution of the NLS equation (27) with $|I_3| < \infty$ may not be possible.



FIG. 1. (Color online) The real [ω_r/ω_p, Eq. (33), panel (a)] and imaginary [γ_L/ω_p, the linear Landau damping rate given by Eq. (34), panel (b)] parts of the carrier wave frequency ω are plotted against $\chi \equiv k/k_d$ for different values of H as in the legends.

IV. THE NONLINEAR LANDAU DAMPING AND MODULATIONAL INSTABILITY

We consider the amplitude modulation of electrostatic wave envelopes in EP plasmas. The relevant details is available in the literature[4,10]. Here, we assume that the linear Landau damping rate is higher order than ϵ^2 and a plane wave solution of Eq. (27) is of the form

$$\phi = \rho^{1/2} \exp\left(i \int^{\xi} \frac{\sigma}{2P} d\xi \right), \tag{40}$$

where ρ and σ are real functions of ξ and τ. Next, we substitute the solution (40) into Eq. (27) to obtain a set of equations for the real and imaginary parts. Linearizing this set of equations by splitting up ρ and σ into their equilibrium (with suffix 0) and perturbation (with suffix 1) parts, i.e.,

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1 \cos(K\xi - \Omega\tau) + \rho_2 \sin(K\xi - \Omega\tau), \tag{41}$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \cos(K\xi - \Omega\tau) + \sigma_2 \sin(K\xi - \Omega\tau), \tag{42}$$

where Ω and K are, respectively, the wave frequency and the wave number of modulation, we obtain, after few steps, the following dispersion relation for modulated wave envelopes in quantum EP plasmas[4,10]

$$(\Omega^2 + 2\rho_0 PQK^2 - P^2K^4)^2 = -(2\rho_0 PRK^2)^2. \tag{43}$$

From Eq. (43) it is evident that, due to the nonzero coefficient R associated with the resonant particles having the wave group velocity, the Langmuir wave packet is always unstable irrespective of the signs of P and Q. A general solution of Eq. (43) can be obtained by considering $\Omega = \Omega_r + i\Gamma$, with Ω_r, Γ being real, as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_r =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left\{ \left(P^2K^2 - 2\rho_0 PQ\right)^2 + \left(2\rho_0 PR\right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(P^2K^2 - 2\rho_0 PQ\right) \right]^{1/2} K,\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma =& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left\{ \left(P^2K^2 - 2\rho_0 PQ\right)^2 + \left(2\rho_0 PR\right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. - \left(P^2K^2 - 2\rho_0 PQ\right) \right]^{1/2} K.\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Some particular cases may be of interest. In the small amplitude limit with $\rho_0 \ll |P/2Q|K^2$, Eqs. (44) and (45) reduce to

$$\Omega_r \approx |P|K^2, \; \Gamma \approx -\rho_0 R. \tag{46}$$

In this case, the frequency shift (the real part of Ω) is related to the group velocity dispersion, while the imaginary part describes the nonlinear Landau damping process in which the wave energy is transferred from the higher frequency sidebands to lower frequency ones. Since P turns over from negative to positive values in the χH plane, the values of Ω_r can be reduced with cutoffs in one subregion, while in the other its values may be increased with χ. Furthermore, in the region of χ where $P < 0$ ($P > 0$), the frequency shift increases (decreases) with increasing values of the quantum parameter H. Also, since R increases with χ but decreases with increasing values of H, the energy transfer rate can be slower by the quantum dispersive effect.

In the large amplitude limit with $\rho_0 \gg |P/2Q|K^2$ and for $PQ < 0$, we obtain

$$\Omega_r = \sqrt{\rho_0(-PQ)K^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + (R/Q)^2} + 1 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{47}$$

$$\Gamma = -\sqrt{\rho_0(-PQ)K^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + (R/Q)^2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}. \tag{48}$$

Here, the values of Ω_r and Γ exist in the regions of χ and H where $PQ < 0$, i.e., roughly in $0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 0.47$, $0 \lesssim H \lesssim 1$ and $0.47 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$, $0.7 \lesssim H \lesssim 1$ (see the white or blank region in Fig. 2).

On the other hand, for $PQ > 0$ and for a given value of ρ_0, the maximum values of Ω_r and Γ can be obtained from Eqs. (44) and (45) at $K = K_m$ as

$$\Omega_m = \pm\frac{R}{Q}\left(Q^2+R^2\right)^{1/2}\rho_0, \tag{49}$$

$$\Gamma_m = \mp\left(Q^2+R^2\right)^{1/2}\rho_0, \tag{50}$$

where K_m is given by

$${K_m}^2 = \rho_0\left(\frac{Q^2+R^2}{PQ}\right). \tag{51}$$

Thus, maximum values of both Ω_r and Γ can be obtained in some regions of χ and H, e.g., $0.47 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$ and $0 \lesssim H \lesssim 0.7$ where $PQ > 0$ (see the colored or shaded region in Fig. 2).



FIG. 2. (Color online) Contour plot of $PQ = 0$ to show the regions for $PQ > 0$ (shaded or colored) and $PQ < 0$ (blank or white) in the $\chi - H$ plane.

In a general manner, we also analyze the properties of Ω_r and Γ. The results are shown in Fig. 3. The panel (a) shows the plot of the frequency shift Ω_r and the panel (b) that for the energy transfer rate Γ against the nondimensional wave number χ. With reference to the results in Fig. 2, where for some values of χ and H, the group velocity dispersion (P) turns over going to zero and then to negative values, it is shown from Fig. 3 that both Ω_r and Γ have cutoffs exactly at the same χ where P vanishes, and as P becomes positive, their absolute values increase with increasing values of χ. In fact, there are two regions of χ, namely $0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim \chi_0$ and $\chi_0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$, where χ_0 is the value of χ at which P vanishes. In the former where $PQ \lesssim 0$, the effect of H ($\lesssim 0.6$) is to increase both the frequency shift Ω_r and the energy transfer rate $|\Gamma|$ having cutoffs at higher values of χ. However, in the other region where $PQ > 0$, the values of Ω_r and $|\Gamma|$ are seen to increase with χ, but to decrease with H. Interestingly, for values of H in the region $0.6 < H \lesssim 1$, the behaviors of both Ω_r and Γ are significantly changed, i.e., their absolute values start increasing with χ without any cutoffs (see the dotted lines in Fig. 3). Furthermore, the maximum values (absolute) of both Ω_r and Γ are achieved in $\chi_0 \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1$ with H where $PQ > 0$.

V. NONLINEAR LANDAU DAMPING OF SOLITARY WAVE SOLUTION

Following Ref. 4, some approximate soliton solutions of the NLS equation (27) with a small effect of the nonlinear Landau damping ($\propto R$) can be obtained.

When $PQ > 0$, an approximate solitary wave solution of Eq. (27) is given by[4]

$$\phi(\xi,\tau) = \sqrt{\phi_0(\xi,0)}\left(1 - i\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{-1/2}\operatorname{sech} z\exp(i\theta), \tag{52}$$

where $z = (\xi - v_0\tau)/L$, $\theta = \left[v_0\xi + \left(\Omega_0 - v_0^2/2\right)\tau\right]/2P$, with v_0, L, Ω_0, θ_1 being constants, and τ_0 is given by

$$\tau_0^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}R\phi_0(\xi,0)}{\pi^{3/2}\theta_1}\left[\frac{\cosh(\pi\theta_1)-1}{\sinh(\pi\theta_1/2)}\right]\mathcal{P}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \times\left(\frac{\operatorname{sech}^2 z'}{z-z'}\right)\operatorname{sech}^2 z\exp(i\theta_1 z)dzdz'. \tag{53}$$

On the other hand, for $PQ < 0$, an approximate solitary wave solution of Eq. (27) is given by[4]

$$\phi = \phi_0(\xi,0)\left(1 - i\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{-1/2}\tanh z\exp(i\theta), \tag{54}$$

where θ and τ_0 are given by

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2P}\left[v_0\xi + \left(2PQ\phi_0^2(\xi,0) - \frac{v_0^2}{2}\right)\tau\right], \tag{55}$$

$$\tau_0^{-1} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{3/2}\frac{R\phi_0^2(\xi,0)\left[1-\cosh(\pi\theta_2)\right]}{\delta(\tau)(1-\cosh\pi\theta_2) + \theta_2\sinh\left(\frac{\pi\theta_2}{2}\right)} \times\mathcal{P}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\tanh^2 z'}{z-z'}\right)\tanh^2 z\exp(i\theta_2 z)dzdz', \tag{56}$$

with $\delta(\tau)$ denoting the Dirac delta function and θ_2 a real constant.

A qualitative plot of the decay rate $|\left(1 - i\tau/\tau_0\right)^{-1/2}|$ in Fig. 4 shows that in both the cases of $PQ > 0$ and $PQ < 0$, the solitary wave amplitude decays with time due to the resonant particles having group velocity of the wave envelope, and the rate is relatively reduced compared to its classical value by the effects of the quantum particle dispersion in EP plasmas.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have studied the influence of the effects of nonlinear wave-particle resonance on the modulational instability



FIG. 3. (Color online) The nondimensional frequency shift Ω_r/ω_p [Eq. (44), panel (a)] and the energy transfer rate Γ/ω_p [Eq. (45), panel (b)] are plotted against the nondimensional carrier wave number $\chi \equiv k/k_d$ for different values of H as in the legends and for a fixed $\rho_0 = K = 0.1$.



FIG. 4. The absolute value of the decay rate $|\,(1-i\tau/\tau_0)^{-1/2}\,|$ is shown against the nondimensional time $\tau\omega_p$ for different values of H as in the legend. It is seen that the decay of the wave amplitude is faster the larger is the value of H.

and nonlinear evolution of electrostatic wave envelopes in a collisionless quantum electron-positron-pair plasma. Starting from the Wigner-Moyal equation coupled to the Poisson equation, and using the multiple scale technique, we have derived a NLS equation which governs the dynamics of wave envelopes in EP plasmas. In the weak quantum regime, it is shown that the wave-particle resonance occurs with the group velocity of the wave envelope, just similar to the classical theory[10]. Also, the coefficients of the NLS equation together with the nonlocal term, which appears due to the resonance, are shown to be significantly modified by the quantum correction. Assuming the equilibrium distributions of electrons and positrons to be the Maxwellian and the propagation of long-wavelength oscillations, explicit expressions for the dispersion relation, the Landau damping rate, the coefficients of the NLS equation, as well as the frequency shift (Ω_r) and the energy transfer rate (Γ) are obtained for modulated wave packets.

It is found that, by the effect of the quantum parameter H (the ratio of plasmon energy to the thermal energy densities), the Landau damping rate is greatly reduced compared to its classical value. Furthermore, the group velocity dispersion can turn over from negative to positive values by the effects of H. This is in consequence with the fact that both the frequency shift Ω_r and the energy transfer rate Γ vanish at a critical value of the carrier wave number $\chi \equiv k/k_d$. It is also found that in the region of χ where $PQ < 0$, both the Ω_r and $|\Gamma|$ increase with increasing values of $H \lesssim 0.6$ having cutoffs at higher values of χ, however, opposite behaviors are noticed in the other region where $PQ > 0$. Here, both Ω_r and $|\Gamma|$ increase with increasing values of χ and but decrease with H. However, for values of H in $0.6 \lesssim H \lesssim 1$, both Ω_r and $|\Gamma|$ gradually increase with χ having no cutoffs. Some approximate solitary wave solutions of the NLS equation are also obtained with a small effect of the nonlinear Landau damping. It is found that the quantum parameter H slows down the decay rate of the solitary wave amplitude in EP plasmas.

We mention that the inclusion of the other quantum effects, e.g., the exchange/correlation force, in the present theory can be equally important[15,16] as its relative contribution in the wave dispersions and nonlinearities roughly scale as c_0H^2, where c_0 is some constant factor. It has been found that for high-frequency Langmuir waves, the contribution of the exchange effects in the linear dispersion relation is relatively small with $c_0 \sim 1/4\pi$[17]. However, in the nonlinear regime, even though its contribution will still scale as c_0H^2, the factor c_0 may or may not be smaller than the unity. Furthermore, dealing with exchange effects in the nonlinear regime requires a challenging task both from computational and numerical points of view, and thus remains a project for future study. Other important aspects, which we have limited

in this work, could be the influence of the particle dispersion in the strong quantum regime where $\hbar k/mv_t$ is not small.

Further to mention that in the limit of $T > T_F$, where T_F is the Fermi temperature, while the Pauli blocking mechanism reduces, the collisional effects between the charged particles may increase. In principle, one can add a collisional operator (e.g., Coulomb collision) to the semiclassical Vlasov equation (6) similar to the Vlasov-Poisson system, however, it may not be easy to include so in the Wigner or Wigner-Moyal equation (1). Nevertheless, inclusion of such collisional term contributes to the NLS equation (27) as a linear damping-like term which may be comparable to or smaller than the term $\propto S \sim \gamma_L/\epsilon^2$ associated with the linear Landau damping rate $\gamma_L \sim \epsilon^3$. In the present analysis, the nonlocal nonlinearity (which violates the conservation laws associated with the ordinary NLS equation), due to the nonlinear resonance (with the group velocity of the wave), dominates the dissipative mechanism over those produced by the collisional and linear Landau damping effects. Furthermore, we have considered the time scale ($\sim \epsilon^{-2}$) which is much longer than the bounce period of trapped particles $\tau_{trap} \sim \epsilon^{-1/2}$ ($\sim \nu$, the Coulomb collision rate). So, to some extent, it is safe to neglect the collision effects in the present model.

To conclude, our results will be equally well-applicable to other pair plasmas or electron-ion plasmas where ions are treated classical, as one can simply recover the results by the adjustment of some factors in the relevant expressions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank Professor Gert Brodin of Umeå University, Sweden, for his valuable comments and suggestions which improved the manuscript in its present form. This work was supported by UGC-SAP (DRS, Phase III) with Sanction order No. F.510/3/DRS-III/2015(SAPI), and UGC-MRP with F. No. 43-539/2014 (SR) and FD Diary No. 3668.

Appendix A: Expressions for A_1, B, Θ, Φ etc.

Here, we give the expressions for A_1, B, Θ, Φ etc. appearing in Eq. (30):

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 =&4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)dv\\ &+k^2 4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3}{m_\alpha^2}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{3H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)dv\\ &+k^2 4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)dv\\ &+k^4 4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}\left(\frac{3H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)dv,\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B =&4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3}{m_\alpha^2}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)\right]\\ &\times dv + k^2 4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^4}\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}\left[\frac{1}{\omega-kv}\right.\\ &\left.\times\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)\right]dv + k^2 4\pi\sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^4}\\ &\times\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)\right]dv\\ &+k^4 4\pi\sum_\alpha \left(\frac{e_\alpha\hbar^2}{24m_\alpha^3}\right)^2 e_\alpha\int \frac{1}{\omega-kv}\\ &\times\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v^3}\left[\frac{1}{\omega-kv}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial v}\left(\frac{G_\alpha - k^2H_\alpha}{\omega-kv}\right)\right]dv,\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(k,\omega) =&k^3\left[\frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2+\Gamma^2}(WW_3 - UU_3)\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{2\Gamma}{\Delta^2+\Gamma^2}WUW_3U_3 + C_3\right],\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(k,\omega) =&k^3\left[\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta^2+\Gamma^2}(WW_3 - UU_3)\right.\\ &\left.-\frac{2\Delta}{\Delta^2+\Gamma^2}WUW_3U_3 + D_3\right],\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&W_3 = W_1 + 6k^4W_2, \quad U_3 = U_1 + 6k^4U_2,\\ &C_3 = C_1 + 6k^4C_2, \quad D_3 = D_1 + 6k^4D_2,\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}W(\lambda) &= 4\pi\sum\frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha}\int\frac{I_\alpha(v)}{v-\lambda}dv,\\ U(\lambda) &= 4\pi^2\sum_\alpha\frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha}I_\alpha(\lambda),\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

$$W_1(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi \sum \frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha} \int \frac{1}{(\omega - kv)^2} \frac{G_\alpha}{v-\lambda} dv,$$
$$U_1(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2}{m_\alpha} \frac{G_\alpha(\lambda)}{(\omega - k\lambda)^2}, \tag{A7}$$

$$W_2(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi \sum \frac{e_\alpha^2 \hbar^2}{24 m_\alpha^3} \int \frac{1}{(\omega - kv)^4} \frac{G_\alpha}{v-\lambda} dv,$$
$$U_2(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^2 \hbar^2}{24 m_\alpha^3} \frac{G_\alpha(\lambda)}{(\omega - k\lambda)^4}, \tag{A8}$$

$$C_1(k,\omega;\lambda) = -4\pi \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3}{m_\alpha^2} \int \frac{1}{(\omega - kv)^2} \frac{I_\alpha(v)}{v-\lambda} dv,$$
$$D_1(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3}{m_\alpha^2} \frac{I_\alpha(\lambda)}{(\omega - k\lambda)^2}, \tag{A9}$$

$$C_2(k,\omega;\lambda) = -4\pi \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3 \hbar^2}{24 m_\alpha^4} \int \frac{1}{(\omega - kv)^4} \frac{I_\alpha(v)}{v-\lambda} dv,$$
$$D_2(k,\omega;\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \sum_\alpha \frac{e_\alpha^3 \hbar^2}{24 m_\alpha^4} \frac{I_\alpha(\lambda)}{(\omega - k\lambda)^4}, \tag{A10}$$

$$\Delta^{(c)}(K,\Omega) = \Delta(\lambda) + i\Gamma(\lambda)\frac{K}{|K|},$$
$$\Delta(\lambda) = 4\pi \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \int \frac{G_\alpha(v)}{v-\lambda} dv, \quad \Gamma(\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \sum_\alpha e_\alpha G_\alpha(\lambda). \tag{A11}$$

Note that the functions $U_1,\ U_2,\ D_1,\ D_2$ and Γ represent contributions of the resonant particles having the group velocity of the wave envelope in EP plasmas.

Appendix B: Various expressions to derive P

We calculate the various terms (in the limit $\chi^2 \ll 1$) which appear in the coefficients of the NLS equation (27) for the Maxwellian distribution (32) as follows:

$$\alpha = -\frac{2k}{\omega_p}\left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\chi^2 + \frac{H^2}{8}\chi^4\right], \tag{B1}$$

$$\beta = -\frac{3}{k_d}\chi\left[1 - \left(6 - \frac{H^2}{2}\right)\chi^2 + \frac{23}{2}H^2\chi^4\right], \tag{B2}$$

$$A = A_1 = 0, \quad W = W_1 = W_2 = 0,$$
$$W_3 = W_1 + 6k^4 W_2 = 0,$$
$$U = U_1 = U_2 = 0; \quad U_3 = U_1 + 6k^4 U_2 = 0, \tag{B3}$$

$$B = -15\left(\frac{e}{m}\right)^2 \frac{k^3}{{\omega_p}^4}\left[1 + \left(12 + \frac{H^2}{12}\right)\chi^2 - \frac{H^2}{6}\chi^4\right], \tag{B4}$$

$$\Delta = -k_d^2[1 - 9\chi^2 - 3H^2\chi^4], \tag{B5}$$

$$\Gamma = -\left(\frac{9\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} k_d^2 \chi\left[1 - \left(6 - \frac{H^2}{6}\right)\chi^2 - \frac{H^2}{8}\chi^4\right], \tag{B6}$$

$$C_1 = \left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k_d^2}\left[1 + \left(27 - \frac{H^2}{4}\right)\chi^2 - 4H^2\chi^4\right], \tag{B7}$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{H^2}{24 k_d^6}\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \left(1 - 21\chi^2\right), \tag{B8}$$

$$D_1 = -\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k_d^2}\left(\frac{9\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \times \chi\left[1 - \left(\frac{9}{2} + \frac{13H^2}{24}\right)\chi^2 - \frac{57}{16}H^2\chi^4\right]. \tag{B9}$$

$$D_2 = \frac{H^2}{24 k_d^6}\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \left(\frac{9\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \chi\left(1 + 13\chi^2\right), \tag{B10}$$

$$C_3 = C_1 + 6k^4 C_2 = \left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k_d^2}\left[1 + \left(27 - \frac{H^2}{4}\right)\chi^2 - \frac{7H^2}{2}\chi^4\right], \tag{B11}$$

$$D_3 = D_1 + 6k^4 D_2 = -\left(\frac{e}{k_B T}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k_d^2}\left(\frac{9\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \times \chi\left[1 - \left(9 + \frac{13H^2}{24}\right)\chi^2 - \frac{53H^2}{16}\chi^4\right]. \tag{B12}$$

[1] L. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **16**, 574 (1946) [J. Phys. USSR **10**, 25 (1946)].
[2] J. H. Malmberg and C. B. Wharton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 184 (1964).
[3] S. Rightley and D. Uzdensky, Phys. Plasmas **23**, 030702 (2016).
[4] D. Chatterjee and A. P. Misra, Phys.Rev.E **92**, 063110 (2015).
[5] C. Villani, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 030901 (2014).
[6] J. Zheng and H. Quin, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 092114 (2013).
[7] F. Valentini and R. D'Agosta, Phys. Plasmas **14**, 092111 (2007).
[8] A. Mukherjee, A. Bose, and M. S. Janaki, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 072303 (2014).
[9] G. Brodin, J. Zamanian, and J. T. Mendonca, Phys. Scr. **90**, 068020 (2015).
[10] Y. H. Ichikawa, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. **55**, 212 (1974).
[11] Y. H. Ichikawa, T. Imamura, and T. Taniuti, J. Phys. Soci. Jpn **33**, 189 (1972).

[12] G. Sarri, K. Poder, J. M. Cole, W. Schumaker, A. Di Piazza, B. Reville, T. Dzelzainis, D. Doria, L. A. Gizzi, G. Grittani, S. Kar, C. H. Keitel, K. Krushelnick, S. Kuschel, S. P. D. Mangles, Z. Najmudin, N. Shukla, L. O. Silva, D. Symes, A. G. R. Thomas, M. Vargas, J. Vieira, and M. Zepf, Nat. Commun. **6**, 6747 (2015).
[13] G. Manfredi and F. Haas, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 075316 (2001); G. Manfredi, Fields Inst. Commun. **46**, 263 (2005).
[14] F. F. Chen, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* (Second edition, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, New York, 1984), pp. 291.
[15] J. Zamanian, G. Brodin, and M. Marklund, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 063105 (2013).
[16] R. Ekman, J. Jamanian, and G. Brodin, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 013104 (2015).
[17] J. Zamanian, G. Brodin, and M. Marklund, European Phys. J. D **69**, 25 (2015).